
Reaction Dynamics and Charge Transfer in the Scattering of State-Selected Ions on Surfaces

Patricia L. Maazouz

Publication Date

15-04-2004

License

This work is made available under a All Rights Reserved license and should only be used in accordance with that license.

Citation for this work (American Psychological Association 7th edition)

Maazouz, P. L. (2004). *Reaction Dynamics and Charge Transfer in the Scattering of State-Selected Ions on Surfaces* (Version 1). University of Notre Dame. <https://doi.org/10.7274/7h149p30z5r>

This work was downloaded from CurateND, the University of Notre Dame's institutional repository.

For more information about this work, to report or an issue, or to preserve and share your original work, please contact the CurateND team for assistance at curate@nd.edu.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this dissertation to my family, especially...

to Mostafa for opening my eyes to the world;

to Sarah for her patience and understanding;

to Dad and Mom for instilling the importance of hard work
and higher education;

to grandma, late grandpa, and Aunt Millie for encouragement;

to the families of Cathy, Mike, and Kim—may you also be motivated
and encouraged to reach your dreams.

CONTENTS

TABLES	v
FIGURES	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xxi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Motivation.....	1
1.2. Charge Transfer Dynamics	6
1.3. Energy Transfer Dynamics	19
1.3.1. Translational Energy.....	19
1.3.2. Vibrational Energy.....	24
1.4. Surface Temperature Effects.....	25
1.5. Abstraction Reactions	27
1.6. References.....	29
CHAPTER 2 EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND PROCEDURES.....	33
2.1. Introduction.....	33
2.2. Chamber Design.....	35
2.3. Surface Preparation.....	36
2.4. Ion Beam Source and Transport	39
2.5. Ion Detection.....	42
2.5.1. Operation.....	42
2.5.2. Optimization	47
2.6. Velocity Analysis.....	59
2.7. References.....	64
CHAPTER 3 SITE-DEPENDENT CHARGE- AND ENERGY- TRANSFER: $\text{BR}^+ + \text{PT}(111)$	65
3.1. Introduction.....	65
3.2. Experiment.....	68
3.3. Results and Discussion	70
3.3.1. Experiments at $T_s=25^\circ \text{C}$	70
3.3.2. Curve Fits at $T_s=25^\circ \text{C}$	79
3.3.3. Trajectory Dependent Kinematics	93

3.3.4. Trajectory Dependent Charge Transfer	107
3.3.5. Surface Temperature Effects.....	109
3.4. Summary	116
3.5. References	117
CHAPTER 4 DISSOCIATION DYNAMICS: $\text{Br}_2^+ + \text{Pt}(111)$	119
4.1. Introduction.....	119
4.2. Experiment.....	122
4.3. Results and Discussion	124
4.3.1. Scattering $\text{Br}_2^+(\varphi=0)$ on $\text{Pt}(111)$ at 25°C	124
4.3.2. Reaction Dynamics: Role of Translational Energy	134
4.3.3. Reaction Dynamics: Role of Surface Temperature	144
4.3.4. Reaction Dynamics: Role of Initial Vibrational Energy.....	149
4.4. Comparison of Atomic Versus Diatomic Projectiles.....	157
4.5. Summary	162
4.6. References.....	163
CHAPTER 5 ABSTRACTION REACTION DYNAMICS; $\text{NO}^+(\text{X}^1\text{S}^+) + \text{O}/\text{Al}(111)$	165
5.1. Introduction.....	165
5.2. Experiment.....	166
5.3. Results and Discussion	167
5.4. Summary	174
5.5. References.....	175
APPENDICES	177
A.1. Flyswatter Geometry File.....	177
A.2. Simion [®] Simulation TOF Program	181
A.3. Br^+ Curve Fits at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$	184
A.4. Br^+ Polar Velocity Maps at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$	198
A.5. Br^+ Curve Fits at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$	209
REFERENCES	223

TABLES

TABLE 3.1. IGOR □ BEST FITTING-PARAMETERS FOR BR^- YIELD.	112
TABLE 4.1. STATE-SELECTED BR_2^+ ROVIBRATIONAL PURITY.....	122
TABLE 4.2. IGOR □ BEST FITTING-PARAMETERS FOR BR_2^- AND BR^- YIELD.....	147

FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Fundamental gas/surface collision processes from thermal to low collision energies. The indicated regions are those energy ranges where these processes are typically studied.....	3
Figure 1.2. Schematic diagram for two neutralization processes for an ion scattered on a metal surface: (a) auger neutralization (AN) occurs when an electron tunnels from the metal to a core hole in the atom (1) causing a second electron (Auger Electron) to become excited (2) and (b) resonant neutralization (RN) occurs when an electron tunnels from the metal to an isoenergetic level on the atom.	7
Figure 1.3. One-electron energy level diagram illustrating the perturbation of the affinity level as the cation approaches the surface. Stabilization of the positive ion by its image charge lowers the ionization potential by an amount ΔE , and the short lifetime of this state near the surface broadens it by an amount Γ	9
Figure 1.4. An image charge is induced when a charged particle is located a close distance z above the metal surface.....	9
Figure 1.5. A one-electron energy level diagram illustrates the energetics for negative ion formation near a metal surface. The dashed and solid curves represent the potential energy for an electron when the atom is at infinite and close distances to the surface, respectively. The affinity level for the neutral atom shifts downward and broadens as the atom approaches the surface.	12
Figure 1.6. An incident projectile collides with the surface at a specified angle θ_i relative to the surface normal with a specific amount of translational energy E_i . The scattered product emerges from the surface at a measured angle θ_f with exit kinetic energy E_f	20
Figure 2.1. Schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus.....	34

Figure 2.2. (a) Electronic schematic utilized to create a pulsed DC voltage on the surface to resistively heat the surface. The trigger pulse from the laser initiates a 200 μ s blanking pulse at the field effect transistor (FET) RFK45N05. (b) Synchronization of laser and sample heating pulses. \sim 200 μ s after the Nd:YAG laser is fired, the FET closes until the next laser pulse allowing a current \sim 4.5 A at 5 V DC to flow through the sample.	38
Figure 2.3. Generic (2+1) REMPI scheme for producing state-selected incident molecular ions. The neutral molecules absorb two photons with a specific amount of energy to become excited to the Rydberg electronic state. The absorption of one additional photon excites the molecule from the Rydberg state to the ground electronic state of the ion with the same rovibrational character as the Rydberg state.....	40
Figure 2.4. A schematic of the ion imaging detector (flyswatter). The ion beam exits the Menzinger deceleration lens and is directed toward the surface sample manipulator. Although the detector is rotatable, the center of the phosphor screen is aligned with the center of the ion beam axis in the current experiments.....	43
Figure 2.5. a) An assembly drawing of the flyswatter detector. b) Sequence of voltage pulses applied to the flyswatter optics.	44
Figure 2.6. The intensity of scattered positive ions as a function of the mass delay for 20 eV and 30 eV incident NH_3^+ . The inability to easily distinguish product masses 17, 18, and 19 amu illustrates the low mass resolution of the detector for identifying the products when NH_3^+ scatters from a deuterium covered Al(111) surface. The line is drawn to guide the eye.	48
Figure 2.7. Time-of-flight mass spectrum for scattered anions produced when OCS^+ collides with Ag(111) at 65 eV.....	50
Figure 2.8. Geometry file schematics utilized for Simion 3D \square flyswatter simulations: a) top-down view at Plane 1 b) side view of the flyswatter looking at the repeller plate with initial trajectory positions labeled A, B, C, D, and E c) an overall 3D view of the flyswatter detector. The numbers indicate the following parts: 1 Menzinger lens, 2 Repeller plate, 3 Surface, 4 Grounded grid, 5 Biased Flight Tube Grid, 6 Flight Tube, 7 CEMA Plates, 8 Grounded Tube, 9 Bolt, Nut, and Ceramic assembly..	51

- Figure 2.9. A histogram representing the flight times of 3 eV NH_3^+ originating at positions A, B, C, D, and E (see Fig. 2.8 c). For each position, the initial location of 150 ions was varied systematically between the repeller plate and the grounded grid. Each number along the x -axis corresponds to a 10 ns wide bin. The simulated electrode voltages were Repeller Plate = +970 V, Flight Tube = -840 V, CEMA = -1200 V, and Menzinger Lens = -160 V. The surface atoms bordered in white are mobile in the calculations. The As atoms are slightly larger than the Ga atoms53
- Figure 2.10. A histogram with a 5 ns bin width representing the flight times of 3 eV positive ions with 17, 18, and 19 amu originating at position B (see Fig. 2.8 c). The initial location of 150 ions for each mass varied systematically between the repeller plate and the grounded grid. The simulated electrode voltages were Repeller Plate = +970 V, Flight Tube = -840 V, CEMA = -1200 V, and Menzinger Lens = -160 V.55
- Figure 2.11. Simion[®] trajectory calculations for positive ions traveling from the region between the repeller plate ($z = 11$) and the grounded grid ($z=21$) to the CEMA plates for various repeller plate (RV) and flight tube (FTV) electrode potential values (a)[RV = +970 V, FTV = -840 V] (b)[RV = +670 V, FTV = -840 V], and (c)[RV = +970 V, FTV = -1080 V], respectively The TOF for 17, 18, and 19 amu ions is calculated as a function of the initial position of the ion56
- Figure 2.12. Simion[®] trajectory mass delay calculations for the intensity of positive ions of mass 17, 18, and 19 amu for various repeller plate (RV) and flight tube (FTV) electrode potential values (a)[RV = +970 V, FTV = -840 V] (b)[RV = +670 V, FTV = -840 V], and (c)[RV = +970 V, FTV = -1080 V], respectively58
- Figure 2.13. Time of flight mass spectra for the emergence of cations when 30 eV NH_3^+ is scattered on D/Al(111). The voltages applied to the repeller plate and flight tube are +650 V and -805 V, respectively60
- Figure 2.14. A comparison of scattered Br^- velocity distributions for various combinations of swat delays. The distribution for Set 1 corresponds to data collected for the combination of 48, 52, 56, and 60 μs swat delays. The data for Set 2 correspond to data collected for swat delays equal to 50, 54, 58, and 62 μs . The velocity distribution for the combined swats is the result of analyzing the data from the eight swat delays together62

Figure 3.1. Schematic diagram of the Pt(111) crystal. A) 0.010" diameter tantalum heater and mounting wires B) 0.010" diameter Alumel thermocouple wire C) 0.010" diameter Chromel thermocouple wires.....	68
Figure 3.2. The $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product yield intensity versus scattered velocities and angles for (a) 24 eV, (b) 44 eV, (c) 64 eV, and (d) 84 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ on Pt(111). Black indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity... ..	72
Figure 3.3. A series of product $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ energy distributions for various incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ energies on Pt(111). All energy distributions are rescaled to share a common peak intensity. The incident energy of the projectile is indicated next to each distribution. A dashed curve tracks the most probable exit energies... ..	73
Figure 3.4. Contour diagram showing the logarithm of the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ signal intensity as a function of the incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ energy and the product exit energy. The white line represents the BCM prediction for a Br projectile undergoing a single collision with a target Pt atom (180° deflection angle).....	75
Figure 3.5. Relative yield of scattered $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ versus kinetic energy for $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ incident on Pt(111). The curve is drawn to guide the eye	77
Figure 3.6. Mean translational energy of scattered Br^- product as a function of kinetic energy of incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$. A linear relationship is observed for incident energies greater than 55 eV	78
Figure 3.7. Relationship between the outgoing velocity, v_i and the width, W_i fitting parameters obtained from the initial velocity distribution curve fits without any constraints. The lines correspond to the best linear fits where the equations for these fits and the corresponding r^2 values are $W_{\square} = 0.4726v_{\square} - 305.14$, $r^2 = 0.979$; $W_{\square} = 0.4214v_{\square} - 286.73$, $r^2 = 0.945$; and $W_{\square} = 0.3932v_{\square} - 151.49$, $r^2 = 0.936$	81
Figure 3.8. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product velocity distributions for $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energies (a) 14 eV, (b) 24 eV, (c) 54 eV and (d) 84 eV. The velocity distributions are fit with a series of three Gaussian functions \square , \square , and \square	83
Figure 3.9. Br^- final absolute yield values obtained from the velocity distributions curve fits for the \square , \square , and \square components across the range of $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energies. The representative error bars indicate the minimum and maximum yield values determined from a series of various fitting parameters	84

Figure 3.10. Calculated final $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ mean translational energies as a function of the incident Br^+ kinetic energy determined from the three-component Gaussian curve fits. The representative error bars indicate the minimum and maximum kinetic energy values determined from a series of various fitting parameters	85
Figure 3.11. The FWHM values as a function of the $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ incident kinetic energy calculated from two methods of curve fitting to the polar intensity plots at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. Equation 3.4 utilizes a single function, whereas the function for equation 3.6 is comprised of three Gaussian components. The error bars are determined from the r-squared values	87
Figure 3.12. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ polar intensity distributions for incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energies (a) 14 eV, (b) 24 eV, (c) 54 eV and (d) 84 eV. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equation 3.6 with the width parameter, n_i , for each component held constant across the incident energy range. The solid blue line that represents the sum of the three components is compared to the polar intensity data	90
Figure 3.13. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ polar energy distributions for incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energies (a) 14 eV, (b) 24 eV, (c) 54 eV and (d) 84 eV. The curve fit is determined from the polar intensity fits and the average energy values from the velocity distribution fits... ..	92
Figure 3.14. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 29 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	94
Figure 3.15. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 44 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	95
Figure 3.16. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 64 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	96

Figure 3.17. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 84 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	97
Figure 3.18. Top view of a Pt(111) surface as illustrated by Kim <i>et. al.</i> [1] Examples of the high symmetry sites are labeled as follows: \square —bridge, between two nearest neighbor surface atoms; \square_1 and \square_2 —three-fold hollow, the center of three nearest neighbor surface atoms with a 2 nd layer or 3 rd layer Pt atom located below the center, respectively; and \square —atop, exactly above a 1st layer atom..	99
Figure 3.19. Scattered atomic bromine kinetic energy values obtained from the three-component velocity distribution curve fits and the classical trajectory calculations on a frozen platinum surface with 1.5 eV image charge	100
Figure 3.20. When a bromine atom collides simultaneously with multiple surface atoms, the platinum atoms move through an angle \square . These \square values depend on the turning point for the scattered projectile, which is sensitive to the translational energy of the incident projectile....	104
Figure 3.21. The ratio of the final kinetic energy for scattered atomic bromine corrected for the image charge potential to the $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy for each component. The final kinetic energy values are calculated from the three-component velocity distribution curve fits, and the image charge is estimated to be 1.15 eV. The solid black line represents the ratio of the bromine final energy to the incident translational energy calculated from BCM without an image charge potential. The dashed curves indicate the best constant ratio values for \square in the two energy regimes—19-34 eV and 54-104 eV	105
Figure 3.22. Ratio of the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product yield at 400°C to the yield at 25°C as a function of incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ kinetic energy. A ratio value equal to one indicates no temperature effect	110
Figure 3.23. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product yield at 25° C and 400°C as a function of incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ kinetic energy. The lines between 14 and 55 eV represent the best fit to the yield data.	111
Figure 3.24. Mean translational energy of scattered Br^- product as a function of kinetic energy of incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ at two different Pt(111) surface temperatures—25° C and 400° C.	113

- Figure 4.1. Potential energy curves for bromine that may participate in the scattering of state-selected Br_2^+ on Pt(111). The parameters for the curves are obtained from various sources $\text{Br}_2^+(X^2\Pi_g^-)$, $\text{Br}_2(X^1\Pi_g^+)$, $\text{Br}_2(A^3\Pi_u^-)$, $\text{Br}_2(B^3\Pi_u^-)$, $\text{Br}_2(C^1\Pi_u^-)$, $\text{Br}_2^-(A^2\Pi_u^+)$, $\text{Br}_2^-(B^2\Pi_{g3/2})$120
- Figure 4.2. The Br_2^- (a, c, and e) and Br^- (b, d, and f) product yield intensities versus scattered velocities and angles for Br_2^+ collision energies (a) and (b) 5.38 km/s (24 eV), (c) and (d) 7.25 km/s (43.5 eV), and (e) and (f) 10.04 km/s (83.5 eV). White indicates the lowest product yield and black indicates the highest intensity for negative ion formation.125
- Figure 4.3. A series of product Br^- (black) and Br_2^- (red) velocity distributions for various incident $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, v=0)$ energies on Pt(111), $T_s=25^\circ\text{C}$. All velocity distributions are rescaled to share a common peak intensity. The incident velocity and corresponding kinetic energy for Br_2^+ is indicated next to each distribution. The dashed curves track the most probable exit velocities for each product.127
- Figure 4.4. Relative yield of scattered Br_2^- and Br^- versus collision velocity for $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, v=0)$ incident on room temperature Pt(111). The relative yields for $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ resulting from scattering $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ on Pt(111) are overlaid for comparison. The open circles (Br_2^-) and squares (Br^-) represent data collected for Br_2^+ under different experimental conditions. The curves are drawn to guide the eye.etic energy of incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ at two different Pt(111) surface temperatures— 25°C and 400°C128
- Figure 4.5. Mean exit velocities of scattered Br_2^- and Br^- products as a function of projectile velocity. The squares and circles represent the products from $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, v=0)$ projectiles, and the diamonds represent the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ products for incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ projectiles. The error bars indicate 90% confidence limits in the data130
- Figure 4.6. The Br_2^- (a, c, and e) and Br^- (b, d, and f) product intensities versus scattering angle for Br_2^+ collision energies (a and b) 24 eV, (c and d) 43.5 eV, and (e and f) 83.5 eV. The solid curves represent the results from fitting the data to equation 3.4.132

- Figure 4.7. The FWHM values calculated from the best curve fits to the polar intensity distributions of scattered Br_2^- and Br^- as a function of the $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu=0)$ collision velocity at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The FWHM values calculated from the polar intensity data for scattering $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ on Pt(111) is overlaid on the plot for comparison..133
- Figure 4.8. One-electron energy level diagram depicting neutralization of $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2})$ near Pt(111). The density of states for Pt(111) as calculated by Kokalj and Causa is shown on the left, where the occupied states are shaded. The energy levels corresponding to the neutral bromine electronic states are obtained from various literature sources. The dashed curves indicate the energy shift of the electronic states as the molecule approaches the Pt(111) surface.135
- Figure 4.9. Br^- velocity distributions resulting from $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu=0)$ and $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ projectiles, incident at 7.2 km/s. The probability density for the $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu=0)$ projectile is rescaled so that the two distributions can be readily compared.137
- Figure 4.10. Ziegler-Biersack-Littmark (ZBL)[2] trajectory calculations for $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu=0)$ neutralized to the $\text{Br}_2(\text{A } ^3\Pi_u)$ state prior to colliding with Pt(111) surface. The equilibrium bond distance for $\text{Br}_2(\text{A } ^3\Pi_u)$ state is 2.75 Å.....139
- Figure 4.11. Ratio of the Br_2^- and Br^- product yield values at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$ to the yield values at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$ as a function of the incident $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu=0)$ collision energy. A ratio value equal to one indicates no temperature effect145
- Figure 4.12. Br_2^- and Br^- product yields at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$ and 400°C as a function of the incident $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu=0)$ collision energy. The lines between represent the best fit to the yield data.146
- Figure 4.13. The average ratios of the Br_2^- and Br^- product yields for scattering $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu)$ in $\nu=2$ to $\nu=0$ on Pt(111). The Br_2^+ translational energy spans from 19.5 eV and 73.5 eV while the Pt(111) surface is held at room temperature. The horizontal line at 1.0 represents the absence of a vibrational effect on negative ion formation.....150
- Figure 4.14. A comparison of the translational energies of the emerging Br_2^- and $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ products versus the $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu)$ incident kinetic energy scattering from a room temperature Pt(111) surface. The squares and circles represent the products resulting from incident projectiles prepared in the $\nu = 0$ and $\nu = 2$ states, respectively152

- Figure 4.15. The projection of the $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu)$ vibrational eigenstates onto the contour plots for three representative neutral bromine electronic states, $\text{Br}_2(X^1\Pi_g^+)$, $\text{Br}_2(A^3\Pi_u)$, and $\text{Br}_2(B^3\Pi_u)$. The contour plots represent the vertical ionization potentials (I.P.) from the $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2})$ potential energy curve to the indicated electronic state as a function of the Br_2 -Pt distance, Z , and the Br-Br internuclear distance, R . The shaded regions represent the vertical I.P. values that are resonant with the occupied states of the Pt(111) surface.154
- Figure 4.16. The projection of the $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu)$ vibrational eigenstates onto the $\text{Br}_2(A^3\Pi_u)$ state potential energy curve and the vertical electron affinity contour plot. The contour plot represents the vertical electron affinity (I.P.) for the $\text{Br}_2(A^3\Pi_u)$ state to the $\text{Br}_2^-(A^2\Pi_u^+)$ state as a function of the Br_2 -Pt distance, Z , and the Br-Br internuclear distance, R . The shaded regions represent vertical E.A. values that are resonant with the occupied states of the Pt(111) surface.156
- Figure 4.17. The ratio of the product yield at $T_s=400^\circ\text{C}$ to $T_s=25^\circ\text{C}$ versus the projectile incident velocity. The circles and squares represent the Br_2^- and $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ yield ratios for scattering $\text{Br}_2^+(^2\Pi_{g3/2}, \nu=0)$ on Pt(111) and the diamonds represent the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ yield ratios for scattering $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ on Pt(111). The line at 1.0 represents the absence of a temperature effect on the emergence of negative ions160
- Figure 5.1. Relative yield of scattered NO_2^- as a function of oxygen exposure for NO^+ incident at 40 eV collision energy.....168
- Figure 5.2. The collision-energy dependence to NO_2^- emergence. The Al(111) surface was dosed with 750 L O_2 prior to NO^+ scattering. The straight line is drawn to guide the eye170
- Figure 5.3. Mean translational energy of scattered NO_2^- versus the collision energy of incident NO^+ . The Al(111) surface was dosed with 750 L O_2 . The straight line is drawn to guide the eye172
- Figure A.3.1. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 14 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot185

Figure A.3.2. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 19 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	186
Figure A.3.3. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 21.5 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	187
Figure A.3.4. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 24 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	188
Figure A.3.5. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 29 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	189
Figure A.3.6. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 34 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	190
Figure A.3.7. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 44 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	191

Figure A.3.8. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 54 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	192
Figure A.3.9. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 64 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	193
Figure A.3.10. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 74 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	194
Figure A.3.11. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 84 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	195
Figure A.3.12. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 94 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	196
Figure A.3.13. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 104 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 25^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	197

Figure A.4.1. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 11 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	199
Figure A.4.2. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 14 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	200
Figure A.4.3. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 19 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	201
Figure A.4.4. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 21.5 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	202
Figure A.4.5. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 24 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	203
Figure A.4.6. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 34 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	204

Figure A.4.7. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 54 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	205
Figure A.4.8. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 74 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	206
Figure A.4.9. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 94 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	207
Figure A.4.10. Comparison of the parameterized fits to the experimental data for the $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ product intensity versus polar exit velocity at 104 eV incident energy. (a) Experimental data and (b) parameterized fit all three components combined, (c) \square component only, (d) \square component only, and (e) \square component only. Red indicates the highest product yield and white indicates the lowest intensity.....	208
Figure A.5.1. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 14 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	210
Figure A.5.2. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 19 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	211

Figure A.5.3. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 21.5 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	212
Figure A.5.4. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 24 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	213
Figure A.5.5. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 29 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	214
Figure A.5.6. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 34 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	215
Figure A.5.7. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 44 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	216
Figure A.5.8. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 54 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ\text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	217

Figure A.5.9. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 64 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ \text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	218
Figure A.5.10. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 74 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ \text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	219
Figure A.5.11. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 84 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ \text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	220
Figure A.5.12. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 94 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ \text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	221
Figure A.5.13. $\text{Br}^-(^1S_0)$ (a) product velocity distributions (b) polar intensity distribution and (c) polar energy distribution for 104 eV incident $\text{Br}^+(^3P_2)$ collision energy on Pt(111) at $T_s = 400^\circ \text{C}$. The \square , \square , and \square components are calculated according to equations 3.2 and 3.6. The solid lines that represent the sum of the three components is compared to the data in each plot	222

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

At Notre Dame, especially in my research group, I have had the privilege to work with many talented individuals, who have made contributions to my research experience. My advisor, Dennis Jacobs, is an excellent role model for someone who really knows how to balance scientific research, teaching, and family. Despite his busy schedule, Dennis always finds the time to discuss anything—from intriguing experimental results or designs to the latest ideas in the scholarship of teaching. His dedication and commitment to science and education is truly inspiring and remarkable. Mostafa Maazouz, a post doc in the lab, inspired the series of experiments described in this dissertation. Mostafa provided the means to take the experiments to unprecedented levels through numerous discussions and by writing many LabView[®] programs for data collection and data analysis. Without these programs, many intricate features in the data would probably have been overlooked. Mostafa's creativity, desire to understand the unexpected, and dedication to his work directly contributed to many accomplishments in the group. Another post doc, Tochko Tzvetkov, not only contributed to numerous scientific discussions, but he also performed classical trajectory calculations for the bromine/platinum system. Toni Barstis, a visiting researcher from St. Mary's College, brought endless enthusiasm to the group. Moreover, she engaged in numerous conversations and provided much support for teaching at a smaller liberal arts college. I also had the opportunity to work directly with two additional dedicated post doc researchers Yibo Huang and Stefan Tzanez, and indirectly with Adam Lapicki. Yibo introduced me to hands-on UHV, ion beams, and electronics in my first months after joining the Jacobs' research

group. Xiangdong Qin and Cecilia Quinteros are two graduate students who provided numerous scientific discussions regarding their ion-surface scattering results. Moreover, I am indebted to Xiangdong for providing essential support during my job search. My research experience in the Jacobs' Group was greatly enhanced by the work of the highly motivated undergraduates Jon Camden (Notre Dame), Todd Engstrom (Notre Dame), and Erin Reinhart (St. Mary's College). In an effort to avoid the inadvertent omission of any individual, I would like to acknowledge the numerous individuals who have directly or indirectly affected my educational experience at Notre Dame, including but not limited to the other graduate students, especially those who attended the "weekly" physical chemistry literature meetings, the faculty members who have served on one of my two committees, the employees in the machine shop, stock room, maintenance, library and chemistry office. The scientific research at Notre Dame could not be achieved at such a high level without the cooperation of all the aforementioned people.